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Description générée automatiquement7th Funding Competition – Winter 2025**  
Project Evaluation Grid

**JURY EVALUATION CHART**Strongly agree (5 points), Agree (3 points)  
Disagree (1 point), Strongly disagree (0 points)

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | | **Points** | **Comments** | |
|  | **ELIGIBILITY** | | | |
| The project meets one of the 5 objectives of the PRESE. | |  |  | |
|  | **RELEVANCE AND DESCRIPTION** | | | |
| The project is relevant and its objectives are clear. | |  |  | |
| The action plan is clear and realistic. | |  |  | |
|  | **BUDGET** | | | |
| Expenses are eligible and relevant. | |  |  | |
| The budget is realistic. | |  |  | |
|  | **CONDITIONS FOR SUCCESS** | | | |
| The commitment and availability of the person(s) in charge are clearly demonstrated. | |  |  | |
| Success indicators are relevant and measurable. | |  |  | |
| The means planned to ensure the success of the project are clear. | |  |  | |
| The elements for ensuring sustainability are convincing. | |  |  | |
|  | **IMPACT** | | | |
| The potential impact on the student population targeted by the project is significant. | |  |  | |
| Inter-institutional collaboration is clearly demonstrated and the project offers benefits for each partner institution. | |  |  | |
| **Total score** | |  | **I recommend funding** | YesNo |